

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/830,223	04/23/2004	Takaaki Yanagisawa	251961US-3 DIV	7749	
22850	7590 05/01/2006		EXAMINER		
•	PIVAK, MCCLELLAN	NGO, HOANG X			
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2852		
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/8	30,223	YANAGISAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			niner	Art Unit				
		Hoan	g Ngo	2852				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears o	n the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE Of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nication. utory period will apply rill, by statute, cause to	F THIS COMMUNI no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MON ne application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>RCF filed 1</i>	/11/06	•				
•		o)⊠ This action						
3)								
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-33</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	⊠ Claim(s) <u>28-33</u> is/are rejected.							
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	on and/or electi	on requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers			·				
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
•			or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
. • , 🗀	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to							
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	or foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
٠,١	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have	been received.					
	2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority d			onlication No. 09/785 23				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of							
	application from the Internation			Teceived in this Hattoria	Clago			
* 0	See the attached detailed Office action			received				
	see the attached detailed Office action	TO! A list of the	certined copies not	received.				
			•		•			
Attachmen	• •							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	O 048)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔀 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date <u> </u>	TO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
				<del></del>				

Art Unit: 2852

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/11/06 has been entered.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2852

3. Claims 28-33 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,748,190.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both claim a common toner container comprising a container body, a filter, and a mouthpiece member including a sealable valve.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kasahara et al (US 6,201,941)

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Kasahara discloses a toner container 20 comprising a container body 222 including an opening (i.e. air passage, Col. 9, line 58) at a first portion, a filter (i.e. filter

Art Unit: 2852

54) being disposed in the opening configured to allow air in the container body to escape from the container body (Col. 9, lines 58-60), and a mouth 224 at a second portion (i.e. outlet passage 22a, Col. 8, lines 40-45) and a mouthpiece 21 attached to the mouth and including a valve 322, wherein the valve allows a nozzle at a set portion of an image forming apparatus to enter the container body and to discharge toner from the container body when the toner container is positioned at the set portion, and wherein the valve is configured to close the mouth when the toner container is removed from the set portion (Col. 18, lines 40-45).

Kasahara further discloses the container body stores toner (Col. 8, lines 14-16).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2138. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00am - 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on (571) 272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2852

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoang Ngo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2852

Hn April 14, 2006